

**GRANDVIEW CITY COUNCIL
REGULAR MEETING MINUTES
OCTOBER 26, 2021**

1. CALL TO ORDER

Mayor Gloria Mendoza called the regular meeting to order at 7:00 p.m. in the Council Chambers at City Hall.

The meeting was held in person and was also available via teleconference.

Present in person: Mayor Mendoza and Councilmembers David Diaz, Bill Moore (Mayor Pro Tem), Robert Ozuna, Javier Rodriguez and Joan Souders

Present via teleconference: Councilmember Mike Everett

Absent: Councilmember Diana Jennings

On motion by Councilmember Souders, second by Councilmember Moore, Council excused Councilmember Diana Jennings from the meeting.

Roll Call Vote:

- Councilmember Diaz – Yes
- Councilmember Everett – Yes
- Councilmember Jennings – Yes
- Councilmember Moore – Yes
- Councilmember Ozuna – Yes
- Councilmember Souders – Yes

Staff present: City Administrator/Public Works Director Cus Arteaga, City Attorney Quinn Plant, City Treasurer Matt Cordray, Assistant Public Works Director Todd Dorsett and City Clerk Anita Palacios

2. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Mayor Mendoza led the pledge of allegiance.

3. PRESENTATIONS – None

4. PUBLIC COMMENT

Jackie Mendoza, 507 Broadway, Grandview, WA, requested that the ARPA funds be allocated to help local business owners.

Joel Orozco (interpreted by Jackie Mendoza), 405 Westridge, Grandview, WA, and owner of the building formerly known as Star Food Market, expressed his gratitude for allowing him to do business in the City and requested that the City allocate funds to help local businesses.

Yerania Espindola, 911 Crescent Drive, Grandview, WA and local business owner at 220 Division Street, requested that the City support local business owners and finance façade improvements to their buildings.

5. CONSENT AGENDA

On motion by Councilmember Diaz, second by Councilmember Souders, Council approved the Consent Agenda consisting of the following:

- A. Minutes of the October 11, 2021 Budget special meeting**
- B. Minutes of the October 12, 2021 Committee-of-the-Whole meeting**
- C. Minutes of the October 12, 2021 Council meeting**
- D. Minutes of the October 18, 2021 Budget special meeting**
- E. Payroll Check Nos. 12431-12446 in the amount of \$90,415.60**
- F. Payroll Electronic Fund Transfers (EFT) Nos. 60652-60656 in the amount of \$90,360.14**
- G. Payroll Direct Deposit 10/01/21-10/15/21 in the amount of \$127,412.45**
- H. Claim Check Nos. 122939-123023 in the amount of \$415,504.28**

Roll Call Vote:

- Councilmember Diaz – Yes
- Councilmember Everett – Yes
- Councilmember Jennings – Yes
- Councilmember Moore – Yes
- Councilmember Ozuna – Yes
- Councilmember Souders – Yes

6. ACTIVE AGENDA

A. Closed Record Public Hearing – Pappy’s Landing Preliminary Plat

Present on behalf of the City was Jeff Watson, Senior Planner with the Yakima Valley Conference of Governments.

Present on behalf of the applicant was Tod Lasley, TAC Land, LLC, and engineer Eric Herzog with HLA Engineering and Land Surveying, Inc.

Mayor Mendoza opened the closed record public hearing to consider a preliminary plat application submitted by applicant TAC Land, LLC., for Parcel Nos. 230922-21005, 230922-21006 and 230922-21009 located at 650 Forsell Road, Grandview, Washington, by reading the public hearing procedure.

There was no one in the audience who objected to her participation as Mayor or any of the Councilmembers’ participation in these proceedings. None of the Councilmembers had an interest in this issue nor did any stand to gain or lose any financial benefit as a result of the outcome of this hearing and all indicated they could hear and consider the issue in a fair and objective manner.

The purpose of the hearing was for the Council to review the record and consider the pertinent facts relating to this issue. No new public testimony was allowed.

City Clerk Palacios provided the following review of the record:

- The City received a residential subdivision application submitted TAC Land, LLC., for preliminary plat approval of a 111-lot residential subdivision to be known as Pappy's Landing. The proposed preliminary plat was located at 650 Forsell Road between Forsell Road and West Second Street.
- On September 14, 2021, a public hearing was held before the Hearing Examiner to receive comments on the proposed preliminary plat. A copy of the Hearing Examiner's Recommendation SUB#2021-02 dated September 28, 2021 was presented along with the Grandview Hearing Examiner Public Hearing Packet dated September 14, 2021.
- Following the closed record public hearing, recommend Council accept the Hearing Examiner's conclusions and recommendation that the 111-lot Preliminary Plat of "Pappy's Landing" to be located on Assessor's Parcel Numbers 230922-21005, 230922-21006 and 230922-21009 and to have its main access from 650 Forsell Road which is described in the application, this recommendation and other related documents in the record of this matter be approved, subject to compliance with conditions consistent with the recommended Planning Staff conditions outlined in Recommendation SUB#2021-02.
- Further recommend Council approve Resolution No. 2021-43 approving the Pappy's Landing 111-Lot Residential Preliminary Plat.

Council requested clarification of the record as follows (transcribed verbatim):

Everett – I have two clarifications. When you get this short plat in the, in the packet, (inaudible) and then try to go through it sometimes it's a little difficult to look at the short plat. Question one is I believe, but I'd like confirmation, that the all the properties are serviced by a right-of-way for irrigation. I assume maybe the, Mr. Watson, could confirm if that is accurate or not. I just couldn't tell from looking at the map for sure.

Mendoza – Would, would that be a question for Mr. Watson, who would like to.

Everett – I don't know who has the question for, I just.

Arteaga – I'll go ahead and address that. The, the, when the plat is developed, it will include extending and installing water, sewer, drainage, street lights, irrigation, sidewalks, curb, gutter, it will be a complete subdivision once it's done and it will have a pressure irrigation system.

Everett – Okay, cause the map was broken right in two between two different mailings. The other question I have was and again looking at the map, was there any area set aside for green as we have required in other prior places, developments, any green areas.

Arteaga – There was no park area designed or set aside on this development proposal.

Everett – Okay thank you.

Mendoza – Any other clarifications from Councilmembers.

Diaz – Madam Mayor.

Mendoza – Yes.

Diaz – I have a couple too. I have one on page two it makes reference for a summary of recommendation subject to conditions, what are the conditions on.

Rodriguez – What page was that?

Diaz – Page two, second page, or page 28. It goes to the Hearing Examiner recommends that the Grandview City Council approve this preliminary plat subject to conditions.

Palacios – The conditions are in the Hearing Examiner’s recommendation.

Plant – On page 45 and 46.

Diaz – And my other question was on page 32, it makes reference with something apparently the soil elevated levels of lead and arsenic and cleanup will be required prior to occupancy.

Palacios – That is a condition that the developer has to do.

Diaz – They have to deal with that.

Palacios – Yes, the developer has to do that.

Plant – To be clear, I don’t think, I think was it says is that that commonly property that’s been used in orchard production has to, if the testing reveals cleanup is appropriate, it will be undertaken and I think that’s what this is saying. So it’s not saying there will be cleanup, it’s saying you need to test the property before you put residences, put people on there basically.

Mendoza – Okay.

Diaz – And then my other question was on page 34 reference to the concurrency test and requirements of GMC Chapter 14.10 dealing with Transportation Concurrency Management. The proposed development is not expected to result in traffic volumes on Forsell Road or West Second Street and I was wondering what kind of testing they did not to, to say that it’s not going to result in any increase of volume of traffic to those two roads.

Arteaga – If you go to page 36, you’ll see this traffic analysis.

Palacios – Page 36 of the hearing packet.

Arteaga – Yes. The Hearing Examiner’s packet and what we, what I’ve done for a long time, I had this checklist development and this checklist, this checklist, the City of Grandview has developed the level of service “C” for our roadway system and you’ll see that it’ll identify a two lane street, level of service “C” is 8,000 cars a day and talks about the traffic that they would be adding on to it and it identifies how much traffic is currently under there and it talks what the remaining capacity is. Well the remaining capacity is 4,256 cars that can still be added to that

before it even starts diminishing the level of service “C” so because it continues at that level, there is, there is . . .

Diaz – Is there what you’re referring to Forsell Road?

Arteaga – Forsell Road and it, and it shows there on number 6, it says West Second and Hillcrest, and West Second is, you know, they’re adding 275 cars so there’s still, there’s still capacity on that as well and the development will make improvements to Forsell. They will develop a half road, curb, gutter and sidewalks on the side that faces the property.

Diaz – Yeah. My concern was when schools are letting out kids or in the morning, that road, that West Second is pretty congested down by the baseball field and, you know, that development is going to have outlet coming through there.

Arteaga – Okay.

Diaz – I was wondering if that was considered in all the, you mentioned that, and I was thinking, thinking that traffic and number of cars there, but it would be, there is gonna have to be some kind of signage to indicate there’s, there’s going to be more traffic obviously on West Second all the way down to the middle school, down to Harriet Thompson and down to the.

Rodriguez – So he will just have to, you said, one side of the road and that’s just, well this is his property, it’s just the front of that so the rest of that road will still be narrow and bumpy.

Arteaga – It’ll be, it’ll be just like Grandridge, what the Grandridge Estates has done, they have widened.

Rodriguez – Yeah, just the one side.

Arteaga – The half of the road on the side of the development. The other side then stays to the other property.

Diaz – Is that the one attached to the orchard, is that where that’s coming from.

Arteaga – It’ll be.

Rodriguez – Grandridge.

Arteaga – It’ll be from property line to property line of this one. It doesn’t take it all the way to Puterbaugh. It’ll just be the property line.

Rodriguez – Just the front of the property.

Arteaga – That borders this property so I’ll have a developed portion and then it will be undeveloped and undeveloped cause that is the way our code is designed.

Mendoza – Okay. Any other clarification. Okay, so the record generated will be provided by staff. We have done that. Councilmembers will now consider the record and discuss amongst themselves the facts of the testimony from the open record hearing.

Everett – Madam Mayor.

Mendoza – Yes.

Everett – Madam Mayor.

Mendoza – Councilmember Everett.

Everett – Yes. Let me say in considering this. I look at item roman numeral 14, page 7, yeah 14 on page 14, criteria required for City Council approval of preliminary plats, it appears I think at about 40 of our packet and if I go down to the next page, at the top of the next page, it talks about we believe this preliminary plat makes appropriate provisions for and it lists the number of items including open space and also it does parks and recreation, it is, if I understand what I can do correctly and I would be subject to correction by either the, the City Attorney or the Chair, it would be my intention to when we come to this approval to approve on the condition that we require green spaces, why, because that is what we've done with other developers. I don't think we can be uneven about it, either contributions to our parks which is something that has happened or green spaces and I think, we could, it's my understanding that is it would be a proper motion to approve with a condition and I would intend to make that motion when it's appropriate.

Mendoza – Thank you Councilmember Everett.

Everett – There are no parks so (inaudible).

Souders – He made that a motion.

Mendoza – No he said he's prepared to make that motion.

Souders – Oh, okay, cause I was going to.

Mendoza – Any further discussion on the.

Souders – I support the idea of green space in a larger development like this and we've, you know, when we've put in some low income housing, they've put in green space, so I think it's fair that we try to be fair across the board.

Mendoza – Any further discussion. Okay. If clarification of the record is authorized. Is there any clarification of the record? Okay now that.

Lasley – Mayor.

Mendoza – Yes.

Lasley – I'm sorry. I don't mean to interrupt.

Mendoza – Okay.

Lasley – Do I need to come up front?

Mendoza – Sure. Please state your name and.

Lasley – Tod Lasley, TAC Land, 12324 North Pittsburgh Street, Spokane, Washington. I'm not sure that, about what you're talking with the green space. We have fairly large lots and nobody has mentioned green space prior to. I think we've followed your code as close as we've possibly could so.

Mendoza – So, I will have our City Administrator talk about what Council is stating that they have had other developers add, you know, either made a contribution to the park or added a section.

Arteaga – Can I refer that to our planner cause that's a question we have been working through some of these subdivisions. Jeff can you help with that?

Watson – I sure can. First let me make sure that my voice and volume and tone are legible and so is this okay?

Mendoza – Yes, sir, we can hear you, thank you.

Watson – I appreciate the, the opportunity to speak to this since it's something that we've talked about a great deal. RCW 58.17 certainly gives the City Council the ability and the opportunity to require such additions to and conditions on a preliminary plat. In my assessment and evaluation of the plat, I did not recommend that open space be part of the conditions of the plat. I did this for a couple of reasons. Planning is made up of general rules and practices as well as the code that you have in play at the time of the assessment and analysis. It's not always black and white. It's not always clear cut. Different planners have different philosophies and different frames of reference as to how they approach the recommendations that they put into the record. I think my recommendation on the fact that your Parks and Rec Plan identifies that the City of Grandview has sufficient parks and recreation systems and on the fact that there's nothing in your development code that gives us direction to move forward with such a recommendation. It is within your prevue and welcome the discussion and, and like I say it can certainly be justified under 58.17, but my recommendation was based on what you have in your Parks Plan and the lack of any firm reference in your development code and your Comprehensive Plan that indicates this is a policy, goal, objective or requirement of the City. I hope that clears things up a little bit.

Everett – Can I respond to that Madam Mayor?

Mendoza – Thank you councilman, Mr. Watson, yes, I will turn it over to Councilmember Everett.

Everett – Okay, so here's what's happened. If we go out to the development on Grandridge. That development we entered into requirements or negotiations with those people for both green space and contribution to our parks system and there may be others. What we haven't done is revise our plan, our, our general plan, which we desperately need to it's about pushing towards 10 years old, we need to do that, but obviously that's not going to happen tonight, tomorrow or next week as you know, plans take a while to revise and it seems to me totally unfair to take a one developer and say, we want you to put in green space, we want you to put in a park, etc., or contribute to our park system and the next guy coming in is not treated on as level a playing field as possible. It seems like and so until we get our plan revised, as you've indicated we have authority to do this, which is the basis upon which I'm, like I said, I'm going to make a motion to approve it subject to that condition, but thank you, I appreciate you explaining.

Mendoza – Thank you. I have question in regards to the other development that Councilmember Everett is talking about and, you know, Mr. Watson mentioned that every development is different. Was that a, that development made a donation or did it become on behalf of the Council? My understanding was that they made that.

Arteaga – That was, that was a different planner. That planner somehow interpreted the regulation different and he identified that that developer was required to provide some green space. At that time, we had, had the discussion that because of the minimal staff that we had it was difficult to complete all of the mowing that we were required to do and we settled on a contribution to improve Dykstra Park playground amenities in lieu of, of adding a half-acre of lawn at the Grandridge Estates. That's the one that we're talking about.

Mendoza – Okay, thank you. Okay, any other comments on discussion.

Herzog – Hi, this is Eric Herzog with HLA Engineering and Land Surveying.

Mendoza – Good evening. Yes sir, we can hear you.

Herzog – I would like to comment on this. The lots as proposed are larger than the code requires and there's also the Tract B which lies along the northerly side of the plat which is open space and then I think Mr. Watson was considering the adjacent parks such as the high school site and some of the open green spaces that are very near this project. I'd also like to offer any, to answer questions that the Council may have on this project.

Everett – I would like to say one thing that we considered on the, on the Grandridge was that we considered that there was an open park very close to it, we considered that, that was something subject to discussion, so the fact that there was a reasonably close open green spaces and, you, one of the things I was interested, you said there's an open space available on what, what side it was, north side, where was it.

Herzog – If you, yeah if you look at the copy of the plat.

Everett – It's hard to look at because of the (inaudible).

Herzog – There's open space, it's called Tract B that's a little over 6,600 square feet which is about one and a half acres that's along the north side of the plat.

Everett – But that doesn't, isn't guaranteed to remain open space is it. It won't be required forever if somebody could come back and develop it, correct?

Herzog – It's, we're proposing it as tract and typically tracts can't be developed as single family houses.

Everett – Well I understand word typically, a and I'm a lawyer and that's a weasel word, typically, means kind of, maybe, yeah, usually, but, but simply requiring, that could be required to be the green space, could it not?

Herzog – I'd like the developer to comment on that, if Tod would like to comment on that.

Lasley – Well, it, that, that actually I don't believe can be developed because part of it lies within if I'm not mistaken SVID right-of-way. Is that correct?

Arteaga – Yes.

Lasley – Yeah, so

Everett - And SVID (inaudible).

Lasley – It won't, it won't, it won't ever be built on unless SVID happens to move their lines sometime in the future which I'm sure I'll be long gone by that time.

Everett – But what I'm suggesting tonight is that we approve it, but subject to that, a that there be green space in the development on that, a green space or some kind of equivalent set off of some more for our parks or whatever and not, not to create a insurmountable (inaudible), it's just that I object to the fact that we don't treat everybody the same and I'm sure that if you had, if the shoe was on the other foot for you, you probably wouldn't want to be the guy that had to do green and the next guy don't, you know what I mean and I appreciate that.

Lasley – Does anybody know the frontage for the Grandridge project. What the frontage of the lots is?

Everett – I can't hear you what?

Lasley – What is the frontage of the lots in Grandridge.

Arteaga – I don't have that handy.

Mendoza – He's asking what is the frontage of the Grandridge properties, but I think at this point if there's any, any other discussion so we can proceed with the record hearing.

Souders – Madam Mayor.

Mendoza – Yes.

Souders – I'm not real clear on whether or not I can do this, but after listening to the discussion and the fact that we don't really have an ordinance in place that says you have to have green space, I would like to withdraw my second on the motion.

Everett – I haven't made a motion.

Plant – I don't think there's a motion.

Mendoza – There's not a motion, yet.

Souders – I thought Mike made the motion.

Everett – No, no.

Rodriguez – He said if.

Souders – If.

Rodriguez – If.

Souders – Okay.

Mendoza – Okay, thank you very much. Let's move forward and we'll get there. So know that we have reviewed the record concerning the issue, this subject is open for decision. So the Council may approve as recommended, approve with conditions, modify with or without the applicant's concurrence provided that the modifications do not enlarge the area of the scope of the project, increase the density of proposed building size, significantly increase adverse environmental impacts as determined by the responsible official, deny (re-application or re-submittal is permitted), deny with prejudice (re-application or re-submittal is not allowed for one year), remand for further proceedings and/or evidentiary hearing in accordance with Section 14.09.070. Okay, so those are your options, Council.

The public hearing was declared closed.

Councilmember Everett moved and Councilmember Rodriguez seconded that Council accept the Hearing Examiner's conclusions and recommendation that the 111-lot Preliminary Plat of "Pappy's Landing" be approved, subject to compliance with conditions consistent with the recommended Planning Staff conditions outlined in Recommendation SUB#2021-02 and a condition to develop a green space or provide a contribution to the park system.

Discussion took place.

Roll Call Vote:

- Councilmember Diaz – No
- Councilmember Everett – Yes
- Councilmember Moore – No
- Councilmember Ozuna – No
- Councilmember Rodriguez – Yes
- Councilmember Souders – No

Motion failed.

On motion by Councilmember Souders, second by Councilmember Moore, Council accepted the Hearing Examiner's conclusions and recommendation that the 111-lot Preliminary Plat of "Pappy's Landing" to be located on Assessor's Parcel Numbers 230922-21005, 230922-21006 and 230922-21009 and to have its main access from 650 Forsell Road which is described in the application, this recommendation and other related documents in the record of this matter be approved, subject to compliance with conditions consistent with the recommended Planning Staff conditions outlined in Recommendation SUB#2021-02.

Roll Call Vote:

- Councilmember Diaz – Yes
- Councilmember Everett – No
- Councilmember Moore – Yes
- Councilmember Ozuna – Yes
- Councilmember Rodriguez – No
- Councilmember Souders – Yes

B. Resolution No. 2021-43 approving the Pappy’s Landing 111-Lot Residential Preliminary Plat

On motion by Councilmember Moore, second by Councilmember Souders, Council approved Resolution No. 2021-43 approving the Pappy’s Landing 111-Lot Residential Preliminary Plat.

Roll Call Vote:

- Councilmember Diaz – Yes
- Councilmember Everett – No
- Councilmember Moore – Yes
- Councilmember Ozuna – Yes
- Councilmember Rodriguez – No
- Councilmember Souders – Yes

C. Ordinance No. 2021-15 amending 2021 Annual Budget

This item was previously discussed at the October 12, 2021 C.O.W. meeting.

On motion by Councilmember Moore, second by Councilmember Diaz, Council approved Ordinance No. 2021-15 amending 2021 Annual Budget.

Roll Call Vote:

- Councilmember Diaz – Yes
- Councilmember Everett – Yes
- Councilmember Moore – Yes
- Councilmember Ozuna – Yes
- Councilmember Rodriguez – Yes
- Councilmember Souders – Yes

D. Resolution No. 2021-44 authorizing the Mayor to sign an Interlocal Agreement between Yakima County and the City of Grandview for Municipal Court Services

This item was previously discussed at the October 12, 2021 C.O.W. meeting.

On motion by Councilmember Rodriguez, second by Councilmember Souders, Council approved Resolution No. 2021-44 authorizing the Mayor to sign an Interlocal Agreement between Yakima County and the City of Grandview for Municipal Court Services.

Roll Call Vote:

- Councilmember Diaz – Yes
- Councilmember Everett – Yes
- Councilmember Moore – Yes
- Councilmember Ozuna – Yes
- Councilmember Rodriguez – Yes
- Councilmember Souders – Yes

E. Ordinance No. 2021-16 establishing a separate fund for the American Rescue Plan Act (ARPA) funds

This item was previously discussed at the October 26, 2021 C.O.W. meeting.

On motion by Councilmember Diaz, second by Councilmember Ozuna, Council approved Ordinance No. 2021-16 establishing a separate fund for the American Rescue Plan Act (ARPA) funds.

Roll Call Vote:

- Councilmember Diaz – Yes
- Councilmember Everett – Yes
- Councilmember Moore – Yes
- Councilmember Ozuna – Yes
- Councilmember Rodriguez – Yes
- Councilmember Souders – Yes

F. Ordinance No. 2021-17 amending 2021 Annual Budget

This item was previously discussed at the October 26, 2021 C.O.W. meeting.

On motion by Councilmember Moore, second by Councilmember Rodriguez, Council approved Ordinance No. 2021-17 amending 2021 Annual Budget.

Roll Call Vote:

- Councilmember Diaz – Yes
- Councilmember Everett – Yes
- Councilmember Moore – Yes
- Councilmember Ozuna – Yes
- Councilmember Rodriguez – Yes
- Councilmember Souders – Yes

7. UNFINISHED AND NEW BUSINESS – None

8. CITY ADMINISTRATOR AND/OR STAFF REPORTS

Broadband – City Administrator Arteaga reported that he was preparing a broadband report for the Economic Development Group. Currently, he was researching potential funding through USDA and CERB. Both programs were a 50% loan/50% grant with a 20% match. The program would also require the recipient to own the broadband infrastructure.

Stormwater Improvements – City Administrator Arteaga reported that the City applied to the Department of Ecology (DOE) for funding to construct the stormwater improvements on West Fifth Street/Larson/Butternut/Pecan. Currently, the project design was being completed with funding previously received from DOE. The construction funding application was in the amount of \$1,264,700. If approved the grant amount would be \$1,074,995 with a City match of \$189,705. The City was informed that the application passed the first round and would be re-evaluated in February 2022.

Well 13 Repair – City Administrator Arteaga reported that Well 13 was re-installed and should be in full operation by the end of week. The contractor located the problem in the pump. The City received an insurance reimbursement of \$220,000 for the damaged equipment. The new equipment at the Well should last 15 to 20 years.

Exit 75/McCreadie/WCR Roundabout – City Administrator Arteaga reported that he was working with the Department of Transportation on developing a 3-D video of the proposed roundabout project on Wine Country Road at Exit 75/McCreadie Road. The cost of the video was approximately \$7,000 and would be paid from the Transportation Benefit District fund.

Water Comp Plan – City Administrator Arteaga reported that a draft of the Water Comp Plan was approximately 80% complete and would be presented to Council for review within the next month.

Cemetery Improvements – City Administrator Arteaga reported that the City was applying to the Department of Archaeology & Historic Preservation Program for grant funding in the amount of \$50,000 for improvements to the existing roads and stage area at the current cemetery.

9. MAYOR & COUNCILMEMBER REPORTS

Community Needs Assessment – Councilmember Ozuna reported that the Berk Consulting Group would attend the November 23, 2021 C.O.W. meeting to discuss the community needs assessment process.

Norm Childress Amphitheater Dedication and Sign Unveiling – Councilmember Souders reported that the Norm Childress Amphitheater Dedication and Sign Unveiling was held today, October 26, 2021.

Grandview Economic Development – Mayor Mendoza presented Certificates of Appreciation for Grandview's Economic Development to Councilmembers Diaz, Everett and Souders.

10. ADJOURNMENT

On motion by Councilmember Moore, second by Councilmember Rodriguez the Council meeting adjourned at 8:30 p.m.

Mayor Gloria Mendoza

Anita Palacios, City Clerk